UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,385	01/05/2006	Jun Li	PU030023	3002
²⁴⁴⁹⁸ Joseph J. Laks	7590 09/04/200	EXAMINER		
Thomson Licen		BRANDT, CHRISTOPHER M		
PO Box 5312	Way, Patent Operation	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETON,	NJ 08543	2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/563,3	85	LI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit				
		CHRISTO	PHER M. BRANDT	2617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	idress			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- ter to reply within the set or extended period for reply w reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF TI f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no en nication. utory period will apply and v ill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION rent, however, may a reply be tin rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 05 June 2008						
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 June 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
▽ /∟	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
/	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
91□	The specification is objected to by the	Evaminer						
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 January 20</u>		ented or h)□ objected	I to by the Examin	ıer			
10/23	Applicant may not request that any object				Ю.			
			-		ED 1 121/d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
·	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority un	dor 25 11 S.C. S. 110/o	\ (d) or (f)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim to ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	i loreign priority ur	del 33 0.3.0. § 119(a))-(u) or (r).				
a)		agumente have ha	on received					
	 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
					1.04			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	•		ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	it(s)		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Action is in response to applicant's arguments filed on June 5, 2008. Claims 1-12 are still currently pending in the present application. This Action is made FINAL.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 5, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to applicant's argument that neither Hunt nor Chitrapu teach or suggest "that the micro cell and the one macro cell are directly communicating in response to access of the micro cell by the mobile communications device", the examiner respectfully disagrees. First of all, the examiner notes that Hunt was used to teach the wireless channel, which was read as direct links (paragraph 28). Second of all, the examiner relied on Chitrapu to disclose the direct communication between the micro cell and the macro cell in response to access of the micro cell by the mobile communications device. This is taught by Chitrapu in paragraphs 74 and 80 (as cited in the previous office action), when Chitrapu is discussing a UE communicating with a Node B of the RLAN moves outside the RLAN service region, handover is implemented via the RAN IP gateway utilizing IP packet service, where there is a direct connection to the RIP GW. The examiner used this particular reference, since applicant's specification defines a micro cell as a wireless LAN and a macro cell as a WAN. Therefore, Chitrapu teaches "that the micro cell and the one macro cell are directly communicating in response to access of the micro cell by the mobile communications device" because a RLAN and a RAN are analogous to a WLAN and WAN, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,385

Art Unit: 2617

As a result, the claims are written such that they read upon the cited references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunt et

Art Unit: 2617

al. (US PGPUB 2003/0013452 A1, Hunt) in view of Chitrapu et al. (US PGPUB 2003/0185178 A1, hereinafter Chitrapu).

Consider **claim 1** (and similarly applied to claim 6). Hunt clearly show and disclose a method for achieving wireless communications in a network having at least one macro cell for communicating both voice and data with a mobile communications device across a first wireless link and, at least one micro cell, with a smaller coverage area and higher capacity per user than the macro cell, for communicating data with the mobile communications device across a second wireless communication link, the method comprising (abstract, paragraphs 4-5, 10, 23, 28-30, read as a method for achieving cellular radio communication system, which comprises a plurality of pico cells 106 (figure 2) and an umbrella macro cell 102 (figure 2). The pico cell 102 is capable of voice telephony and data communications with a Mobile Station 110 (figure 2) using a sub-channel 212 (figure 2). In addition, the pico cells 106 pass data across a sub-channel 214 (figure 2) to a terminal 110 (figure 2) dedicated for higher data rates the steps of:

communicating signaling information between the one micro cell and the one macro cell via a third wireless channel; and controlling the operation of the micro cell responsive to the signaling information (abstract, paragraphs 4-5, 10, 23, 28-30, read as a communication channel between the secondary station and a primary station, which comprises control and data subchannels for the respective transmission of control information and user data. This communication channel also provides a means for a data sub-channel between the secondary station and controlling primary station for the pico cell. The macro cell BS 104 has direct links (i.e. third wireless channel) to the pico cell base stations 108 included within the umbrella macro cell 102, and routes data to and from whichever is appropriate for current communications in a

Art Unit: 2617

manner which is transparent to the network. In addition, when there is a data packet to be transmitted to the user (i.e. attempting to access the micro cell), the macro cell 102 routes the data to the identified pico cell 106. Moreover, the macro cell BS 104 may also instruct the chosen pico cell BS 108 to vary transmission parameters (such as data rate, transmission power) to modify the quality of the chosen link. In addition, Hunt discloses that the macro cell may also instruct the chosen pico cell to vary transmission parameters (i.e. signaling information)).

Hunt discloses the claimed invention except he fails to explicitly disclose that the micro cell and the one macro cell are <u>directly</u> communicating in response to access of the micro cell by the mobile communications device.

However, Chitrapu discloses that the micro cell and the one macro cell are <u>directly</u> communicating in response to access of the micro cell by the mobile communications device (paragraphs 74, 80, read as a C-plane server is directly connected to the RIP GW, which allows the sharing of resources for control signal processing in case such as the UE would access the RAN IP when moving outside of the RLAN).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Chitrapu into the invention of Hunt in order to enable connectivity to the public network using utilizing IP packet service (paragraphs 23, 74).

Consider **claim 2** and as applied to claim 1. Hunt teaches the method wherein step of controlling the micro cell includes the step of managing access to the micro cell by the mobile communications device (Hunt; figure 2, paragraph 25, 29, 30).

Art Unit: 2617

Consider **claim 3 and as applied to claim 1**. Hunt teaches the method wherein the step of communicating signaling information via the third wireless channel includes the step communicating signaling information from each mobile communications device separately (Hunt; figure 2, paragraphs 23, 28-30).

Consider **claim 4 and as applied to claim 1**. Hunt teaches the method wherein the step of communicating signaling information via the third wireless channel includes the step of encapsulating signaling information from a plurality of mobile communication devices in a common packet (Hunt; figure 2, paragraph 23, 28-30).

Consider **claim 5** and as applied to claim 1. Hunt teaches the method further comprising the step of assigning to the mobile communication device codes and power settings to enable the mobile communication device to communicate with macro cell and micro cell simultaneously (figure 2, paragraphs 22-23 and 26).

Consider **claim 7 and as applied to claim 6**. Hunt and Chitrapu disclose wherein the control element comprises a Service General Packet Service Node (SGSN) (Chitrapu; paragraph 26).

Consider **claim 8 and as applied to claim 6**. Hunt teaches the system wherein the control element manages access to the micro cell by the mobile communications device (Hunt; figure 2, paragraphs 25, 29, 30).

Consider **claim 9 and as applied to claim 6**. Hunt teaches the system wherein each micro cells separately communicates signaling information from each mobile communication device across the third wireless channel (Hunt; figure 2, paragraphs 23, 28-30).

Consider **claim 10 and as applied to claim 6**. Hunt teaches the system wherein the signaling information of each of a plurality of micro cells is encapsulated into a common packet for communication across the third wireless communication channel (Hunt; figure 2, paragraphs 23, 28-30).

Consider **claim 11** and as applied to claim 6. Hunt teaches the system wherein the control element assigns to the mobile communication device codes and power settings to enable the mobile communication device to communicate with macro cell and micro cell simultaneously (Hunt; figure 2, paragraphs 22-23, 26).

Consider **claim 12 and as applied to claim 7**. Hunt and Chitrapu disclose wherein the control element further comprises: a Gateway General Packet Radio Serving Node (GGSN); and an Internet Protocol tunnel for linking the GGSN to an Internet Protocol gateway (Chitrapu; paragraph 24).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/563,385 Page 8

Art Unit: 2617

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Brandt whose telephone number is (571) 270-1098. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30a.m. to 5p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,385

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Page 9

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2600.

Christopher M. Brandt

C.M.B./cmb

August 31, 2008

/George Eng/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617